#### REMARKS

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 14-35, 37-41, 45-53, 57-61, 63-65, 67-72, 74-77, 79-119, and 128-135 are pending, with claims 1, 45, 76, 112, 128, and 134 being independent. Claims 3, 9-13, 36, 42-44, 54-56, 62, 66, 73, 78, and 120-127 have been cancelled. Claims 76, 77, and 79-102 have been withdrawn. Claims 1, 8, 16-19, 22, 23, 27-31, 45, 49, 50, 53, 57-59, 61, 112, 128, and 134 have been amended. No new subject matter has been added.

### **EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for participating in an Examiner Interview on October 19, 2010. Applicants accept the description of the interview provided in the Examiner Interview Summary filed on October 28, 2010.

### 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) REJECTIONS

Claims 1, 5-6, 19-34, 37-39, 104-105, 112, 118-119, 128, and 133-135 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Kitani et al., U.S. Patent. Pub. 2001/0019612.

The Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1, which, as amended, recites that an embodiment is directed toward:

Apparatus, comprising:

a media reader having a read element capable of being communicatively coupled to a DVD compliant with the CSS specifications and containing scrambled digital content;

a storage element including an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content from the media reader, the storage element configured to non-evanescently store the scrambled digital content using a storage technique substantially different from the DVD without descrambling said scrambled digital

217 1026.01 Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

Serial No: 10/801,091 Art Unit: 2621 content; and

a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device comprising:

an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS);

a descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content;

a decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a media stream; and

an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream.

Independent Claims 112, 128 and 134 recite similar limitations. Claims 5-6, 19-34, 37-39, and 104-105 are dependent upon Claim 1, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment. Claims 118-119 are dependent upon Claim 112, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment. Claim 133 is dependent upon Claim 128, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment. Claim 135 is dependent upon Claim 134, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment.

Support for the amendments may be found in the specification, e.g., elements 32 and 33, and [0078]-[0079].

As previously discussed, Applicants understand Kitani to purport to describe a motion-picture distribution method, involving randomizing data corresponding to a motion picture, scrambling the randomized data and encoding it onto a number of DVDs, transporting the DVDs to a movie theater, loading the DVDs onto a server device, descrambling and serializing the data corresponding to the motion picture, scrambling the reserialized motion picture data, and sending it to a projection device, where it is descrambled and stored (*see, e.g.,* Figs. 9 and 10, and corresponding description).

217 1026.01 Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as claimed. Specifically, Kitani fails to teach or suggest a playback device which includes a descrambler for descrambling the scrambled digital content originally found on a DVD, coupled to a decoder for decompressing the unscrambled digital content into a media stream, as claimed.

As Kitani fails to disclose the claimed limitations, and does not describe every limitation arranged or combined in the same way as in the claimed embodiment, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claims 1, 112, 128, and 134, and that the claimed embodiment recited in these claims overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), and are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants further submit that Claims 5-6, 19-34, 37-39, 104-105, 118-119, 133, and 135 overcome the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), as they are dependent on allowable base claims.

## 35 U.S.C. 103 REJECTIONS

217 1026.01 32 Serial No: 10/801,091

Claims 45-48, 50-51, 59-61, 64, 69-72, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being obvious, in view of Kitani.

The Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 45, which, as amended,

recites that an embodiment is directed toward:

A method of playing a DVD, including steps of

reading the DVD including scrambled digital content representing at least one media stream scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS);

non-evanescently storing the scrambled digital content in a protected form using a storage mechanism different from the DVD;

descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content, immediately prior to decompressing said unscrambled digital content into said media stream; and

playing back the media stream.

Claims 46-48, 50-51, 59-61, 64, 69-72, and 74 are dependent upon Claim 45, and recite further

features of the claimed embodiments.

The Examiner is further respectfully directed to the discussion above of Claim 1 and

Kitani. Applicants respectfully repeat those arguments here, and assert that Kitani fails to teach

or suggest a method of playing a DVD, wherein scrambled digital content is descrambled into

unscrambled digital content, immediately prior to decompressing the unscrambled digital content

into a media stream, as claimed.

The rejection asserts that conversion into analog, digital or analog and digital audiovisual

content for presentation is well-known in the art. Applicants concur. However, this knowledge

is insufficient to correct the defect in Kitani.

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801.091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

Art Unit: 2621

33

Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with knowledge of the art, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claim 45, and that the claimed embodiment recited in this claim overcomes the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants further submit that Claims 46-48, 50-51, 59-61, 64, 69-72, and 74 overcome the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as they are dependent on an allowable base claim.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Kitani, further in view of Ciacelli et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,236,727.

The Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1, reproduced above. Claim 2 is dependent on Claim 1, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully contend that Kitani fails to teach or suggest every element of Claim 1. Ciacelli fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Ciacelli similarly fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as

217 1026.01 Serial No: 10/801,091

claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Ciacelli, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claim

2, and that the claimed embodiment recited in this claim overcomes the rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a), and is in condition for allowance.

Claims 4, 7-8, 33, 35, 40-41, 52-53, 63, 67-68, 75, and 109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §

103(a) as being obvious in view of Kitani, further in view of Wehrenberg.

As regards claims 4, 7-8, 33, 35, 40-41, and 109, the Examiner is respectfully directed

to independent Claim 1, reproduced above. Claims 4, 7-8, 33, 35, 40-41, and 109 are dependent

on Claim 1, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani fails to teach or suggest

every element of Claim 1. Wehrenberg fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Wehrenberg

similarly fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback

device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled

digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a

descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a

decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a

media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

35 Serial No: 10/8 Art Unit: 2621 claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Wehrenberg, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in

these claims, and that the claimed embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

As regards claims 49, 52-53, 65, 67-68, and 75, the Examiner is respectfully directed to

independent Claim 45, reproduced above. Claims 49, 52-53, 65, 67-68, and 75 are dependent on

Claim 45, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani fails to teach or suggest

every element of Claim 45. Wehrenberg fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Wehrenberg

similarly fails to teach or suggest a method of playing a DVD, wherein scrambled digital content

is descrambled into unscrambled digital content, immediately prior to decompressing the

unscrambled digital content into a media stream, as claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Wehrenberg, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in

these claims, and that the claimed embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

Art Unit: 2621

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

36

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kitani, in view of Akiba et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,353,540, further in view of Ichinol et al., U.S. Patent Pub. 2001/0014946.

The Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1, reproduced above. Claims 14 and 15 are dependent on Claim 1, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully contend that Kitani fails to teach or suggest every element of Claim 1. Akiba fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Akiba similarly fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as claimed. Similarly, Ichinol fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Ichinol also fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as claimed.

217 1026.01 Serial No: 10/801,091

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with Akiba or Ichinol, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claims 14 and 15, and that the embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

Claims 16-17, 57, 113, and 129 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kitani, in view of Chan et al., U.S. Patent Pub. 2004/0001704.

As regards Claims 16-17 and 129, the Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1, reproduced above. Independent Claim 120 recites similar limitations. Claims 16 and 17 are dependent on Claim 1, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment. Claim 129 is dependent on Claim 1, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully contend that Kitani fails to teach or suggest every element of Claim 1. Chan fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Chan similarly fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as

217 1026.01 38 Serial No: 10/801,091

claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Chan, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claims

16, 17, and 129, and that the embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection under

35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

As regards Claims 57 and 113, the Examiner is respectfully directed to independent

Claim 45, reproduced above. Independent Claim 112 recites similar limitations. Claim 57 is

dependent on Claim 45, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment. Claim 113 is

dependent on Claim 112, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani fails to teach or suggest

every element of Claim 45. Chan fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Chan similarly fails to

teach or suggest a method of playing a DVD, wherein scrambled digital content is descrambled

into unscrambled digital content, immediately prior to decompressing the unscrambled digital

content into a media stream, as claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Chan, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in these

claims, and that the claimed embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection under

39

35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

Claims 18, 58, 114, and 130 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over

Kitani, in view of Chan et al., U.S. Patent Pub. 2004/0001704, further in view of Hughes, Jr. et

al., U. S. Patent. Pub. 2004/0033061.

As regards Claim 18, the Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1,

reproduced above. Claim 18 is dependent on Claim 1, and recites further features of the claimed

embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully contend that Kitani and Chan fail to teach or

suggest every element of Claim 1. Hughes fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Hughes

similarly fails to teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback

device comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled

digital content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a

descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a

decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a

media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as

claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Chan and Hughes, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited

40

in Claim 18, and that the embodiments recited in this claim overcome the rejection under 35

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

As regards Claims 58, 114, and 130, the Examiner is respectfully directed to

independent Claim 45, reproduced above. Independent Claims 112 and 128 recite similar

limitations. Claim 58 is dependent on Claim 45, and recites further features of the claimed

embodiment. Claim 114 is dependent on Claim 112, and recites further features of the claimed

embodiment. Claim 130 is dependent on Claim 128, and recites further features of the claimed

embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani and Chan fail to teach or

suggest every element of Claim 45. Hughes fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Hughes

similarly fails to teach or suggest a method of playing a DVD, wherein scrambled digital content

is descrambled into unscrambled digital content, immediately prior to decompressing the

unscrambled digital content into a media stream, as claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Chan and Hughes, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited

in these claims, and that the claimed embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

Claim 103 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kitani, in view of

Shillo, U.S. Patent Pub. 2003/0110263.

217 1026.01

41 Serial No: 10/801,091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

The Examiner is respectfully directed to independent Claim 1, reproduced above. Claim

103 is dependent on Claim 1, and recites further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully contend that Kitani fail to teach or suggest

every element of Claim 1. Shillo fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Shillo similarly fails to

teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device

comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital

content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a

descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a

decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a

media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as

claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Shillo, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claim

103, and that the embodiments recited in this claim overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

Claims 106-108, 110-111, 115-117, and 131-132 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

42

being obvious over Kitani, in view of Porter et al., U.S. Patent Pub. 2003/0226029.

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

As regards Claims 106-108 and 110-111, the Examiner is respectfully directed to

independent Claim 1, reproduced above. Claims 106-108 and 110-111 are dependent on Claim

1, and recite further features of the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully contend that Kitani fails to teach or suggest

every element of Claim 1. Porter fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Porter similarly fails to

teach or suggest a playback device coupled to the storage element, the playback device

comprising: an input disposed for receiving the scrambled digital content, said scrambled digital

content at the input scrambled in accordance with a content scramble system (CSS); a

descrambler, for descrambling said scrambled digital content into unscrambled digital content; a

decoder, coupled to said descrambler, for decompressing said unscrambled digital content into a

media stream; and an output, coupled to said decoder, configured to output said media stream, as

claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Porter, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in Claim

18, and that the embodiments recited in this claim overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

As regards Claims 115-117, and 131-132, the Examiner is respectfully directed to

43

independent Claim 45, reproduced above. Independent Claims 112 and 128 recite similar

limitations. Claims 115-117 are dependent on Claim 112, and recite further features of the

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

Examiner: Dang, Hung Q.

claimed embodiment. Claims 131-132 are dependent on Claim 128, and recite further features of

the claimed embodiment.

As discussed above, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani fail to teach or suggest

every element of Claim 45. Porter fails to remedy this defect in Kitani, as Porter similarly fails

to teach or suggest a method of playing a DVD, wherein scrambled digital content is

descrambled into unscrambled digital content, immediately prior to decompressing the

unscrambled digital content into a media stream, as claimed.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that Kitani, alone or in combination with

Porter, fails to anticipate or render obvious the embodiments of the invention recited in these

claims, and that the claimed embodiments recited in these claims overcome the rejection under

35 U.S.C. 103(a), and are in condition for allowance.

217 1026.01

Serial No: 10/801,091

# Conclusion

In light of the above-listed amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request allowance of the remaining Claims.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 12, 2010 /Kevin Brown/

Kevin A. Brown Reg. No. 56,303 IP Counsel, Kaleidescape, Inc. 440 Potrero Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4117 (650) 625-6391